

Characterizing 3D Structure of Convective Momentum Transport Associated with the MJO Based on Reanalyses

Ji-Hyun Oh¹, Xianan Jiang¹, Duane Waliser^{1,2}, Mitchell Moncrieff³, and Richard Johnson⁴

¹Joint Institute for Regional Earth System & Engineering/UCLA ²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology ³National Center for Atmospheric Research ⁴Colorado State University

Acknowledgements This research was supported via NSF/Climate & Large-scale Dynamics



Convective Momentum Transport

Momentum equation

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial t} = adv + PGF + f + X$$

Acceleration due to all residual processes i.e. CMT (Process of conversion of convective available PE to horizontal KE in the flow field)

Eddy momentum flux convergence due to convection

$$X = -\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{v}' \mathbf{v}'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \overline{\mathbf{v}' \omega'}$$

$$\approx -\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \overline{\mathbf{v}' \omega'}$$

$$\approx -\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \overline{\mathbf{v}' \omega'}$$

$$(Planetary)$$

$$Super CC (synoptic)$$

$$CC (upscale upscale (meso))$$

$$CC (meso)$$

$$CC (upscale upscale (meso))$$

$$CC (upscale (m$$

CMT: Roles for the MJO in observation

MJO1: late Dec-early Jan 200 400 hPa 600 u 1000 16DEC 210EC RIAN 200 downscale upscale. X hPa 600 800 1000 1 JAN 1993 200 $Q_1/C_{p_{e}}$ 400 800 1000 11DEC 16DEC 21DEC 26DEC 1JAN 1993 6JAN 11JAN 30 1.5 I_{TBB} op 20 Rain 0.5 6JAN 11DEC 16DEC 11JAN 1992

Tung and Yanai (2002a,b) - TOGA-COARE

• X and local time change of u have the same order

- of magnitude of 3-6 m/sec/day.
- Upscale: help large scale maintain vertical shear
- Downscale: decelerate the large scale-flow and
- Reduce wind shear by vertical mixing of momentum

A simple Dynamical model with CMT



Majda and Stechmann (2009)

Two-way interaction between the MJO and synoptic-scale CCWs

$$\frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial T} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \langle \overline{w'u'} \rangle = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t} + \bar{U} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x} + w' \frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x} = S'_{u,1}$$

Theoretical model with scale interaction

Wang and Liu (2010)

Combined effect of frictional CISK (or boundary layer frictional convergence instability, FCI) and Eddy momentum transport (EMT)



Red ~MJO, blue ~ CCWs



A 14

Cloud Resolving Model: NICAM

Miyakawa et al (2012) – 7 km mesh NICAM output / 32 days starting from 15 Dec 2006



Scientific approaches to the interaction between CMT and the MJO

Observation: TOGA COARE -> limited case over WP

Tung and Yanai
(2002,a,b)Upgradient effect of zonal components of CMT associated with Rainbands
near the leading edge of the lower-tropospheric westerly winds

Idealized model -> Need observational evidence

Majda and Stechmann (2009): Multicloud
model+CMTWang and Liu (2010): PBL friction convergence
instability + CMTCloud resolving Model -> limited case due to expensive computing costMiyakawa et al. (2012)3-layered structure

Objectives

Characterize 3D CMT structure and assess the potential role of CMT for MJO

- Generalize characteristics of CMT structure and its relationship with the MJO by using long term period of analysis (13 years)
- Comparison between IO and WP

	Resolution	Analysis period	CMT parameterization*
NOAA's Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR)	0.5 degree, 6hr	1998-2010	Ο
ECMWF-the Year of Tropical Convection (ECMWF)	0.5 degree, 6hr	05/01/2008- 04/30/2010	0

Winter mean state



CMT parameterization

$$\mathbf{X}_{c} = -M \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial p} + \delta(\mathbf{v}_{D} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}) + \sigma \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p^{*}\right)$$

Wind shear

Scale separation

"Spatial" filtering method



spatial scales. Filtering process is applied to 6 hourly data and obtained results are daily averaged.

Regressed multi-scale precipitation against IO MJO index









12.5-

lat:5S-5N

CMT vertical structure (IO) - CFSR

Regression of multi-scales of CMT on IO MJO index



T RE



CMT vertical structure (IO)- ECMWF

Regression of multi-scales of CMT on IO MJO index



* Green line : MJO precipitation, u;v: MJO circulation

CMT vertical structure (WP)

W RE

Regression of multi-scales of CMT on WP MJO index



Lag-height regression of zonal wind and CMT against MJO index

Shaded MJO zonal wind and spatial filtered CMT in contour Averaged over **IO**: 75-85E, 5S-5N



Lead-lag regression of zonal wind and CMT against MJO index

Shaded MJO zonal wind and spatial filtered CMT in contour Averaged over **WP**: 150-160E, 5S-5N Subgrid Synop Meso 100 Westerly 0.8 200 200 200 0.6 0.4 0.2 300 300 300 400 400 400 -0.2 Easterly -0.4 500 500 500 -0.06 -0.6 600 600 600 0.4 -0.8 700 700 700 -1 800 800 **C** 800 0.2 -2 900 900 900 -1000 20 -1000 20 1000 ~<u>20</u> -15 20 15 lag lag 3prcp 2 · 1 0

-1 -2 -3

-20

-15

-10

Ω

lag

10 15

EOF CMT vertical structure

1 86

CMT averaged over 3 points average centered from 80E, IO (black) 155E, WP. (green)







Regression of wind on the 1st PC (IO)



Moncrieff (2004), Mapes et al. (2006)

1 1 16

Summary

- 3-layer vertical structure is found in CMT patterns associated with the MJO due to the convection on three different spatial scales, i.e., subgrid, meso-, and synoptic-scale. The subgrid and meso-scale CMT show the same sign in general, while an opposite sign is found in the synoptic CMT.
- The amplitude of the CMT is dependent on location: while the strongest CMT due to the mesoscale convection is found over the Maritime Continent, the synoptic scale CMT tends to be stronger over the ocean.
- The subgrid, meso-, and synoptic convective system can feedback to the MJO momentum field through their strong modulation by the MJO envelop.

Future works

- 85



